

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY  
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF THE BATH COUNTY	)	
WATER DISTRICT FOR A CERTIFICATE OF	)	CASE NO.
PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO	)	2006-00205
CONSTRUCT, FINANCE AND INCREASE RATES	)	
PURSUANT TO KRS 278.023	)	

FIRST DATA REQUEST OF COMMISSION STAFF

The Bath County Water District ("Bath District"), pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, is to file with the Commission the original and one copy of the following information, with a copy to all parties of record. The information requested herein is due on Friday, June 9, 2006. A copy sent via facsimile to the Public Service Commission by that date, followed by U.S. Mail, is acceptable for this deadline. Each copy of the data requested should be placed in a bound volume with each item tabbed. When a number of sheets are required for an item, each sheet should be appropriately indexed, for example, Item 1(a), Sheet 2 of 6. Include with each response the name of the person who will be responsible for responding to questions relating to the information provided. Careful attention should be given to copied material to ensure that it is legible. Where information herein has been previously provided, in the format requested herein, reference may be made to the specific location of said information in responding to this information request. Bath District shall include in each response the name of the individual(s) who provided the information needed or responded to the data request.

1. In the application, paragraph 4 requests renovation of two (unnamed) storage tanks and construction of a new tank in the Olympia area. However, the Final

Engineering Report, Section VI. Proposed Design (“Engineering Report”) references Contract No. 7 and appears to state that Contract No. 7 provides for the rehabilitation of three storage tanks, namely the Ore Mines, Perry Road, and Owingsville tanks. It also states that Contract No. 8 provides for the construction of a new tank in the Olympia area and the demolition of the existing Preston water storage tank. Explain the reason for the inconsistency between the different tanks and the numbers of tanks referenced in the application and the Engineering Report.

2. The Engineering Report states that Contract No. 8 included the demolition of the existing 64,000-gallon Preston water storage tank. To the extent not answered in Item 1, explain whether this is included in the application. If not, further explain the reason for its omission.

3. Provide copies of Contract No. 7 and Contract No. 8 as referred to in the Engineering Report.

4. In the application, paragraph 4 requests the extension of water service to the areas of Mudlick, Elm, Turly, and Prickley Ash. The Engineering Report states that Contract No. 9 provides for various 3-inch, 4-inch, and 8-inch water main extensions. Are these the water main extensions that will be used to extend water service to the areas of Mudlick, Elm, Turly, and Prickley Ash? If not, explain what will be used to extend service to these areas and where the water main extensions referenced in the Engineering Report will be used.



---

Beth O'Donnell  
Executive Director  
Public Service Commission  
P. O. Box 615  
Frankfort, KY 40602

Dated: June 6, 2006

cc: Parties of Record